

CHURCH OF THE REDEEMER

717 North Stapley Drive, Mesa, AZ 85203 Phone: (480) 833-7500

Series:	The Heidelberg Catechism	Pastor/Teacher
Number:	8	Gary L.W. Johnson
Text:	Phil. 2:5-11; I John 4:2-3; II John 9	
Date:	October 5, 2014 a.m.	

The Mediator and His Work (Part I)

6. Lord's Day

Question 16. Why must He be a true and righteous man?

Answer: Because the justice of God requires that the same human nature which has sinned, should likewise make satisfaction for sin; (a) and one, who is himself a sinner, cannot satisfy for others. (b)

(a) Ezek. 18:4 Behold, all souls are mine; as the soul of the father, so also the soul of the son is mine: the soul that sinneth, it shall die. Ezek. 18:20 The soul that sinneth, it shall die. The son shall not bear the iniquity of the father, neither shall the father bear the iniquity of the son: the righteousness of the righteous shall be upon him, and the wickedness of the wicked shall be upon him. Rom. 5:12 Wherefore, as by one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, for that all have sinned: Rom. 5:15 But not as the offence, so also is the free gift. For if through the offence of one many be dead, much more the grace of God, and the gift by grace, which is by one man, Jesus Christ, hath abounded unto many. Rom. 5:18 Therefore as by the offence of one judgment came upon all men to condemnation; even so by the righteousness of one the free gift came upon all men unto justification of life. 1 Cor. 15:21 For since by man came death, by man came also the resurrection of the dead. Heb. 2:14 Forasmuch then as the children are partakers of flesh and blood, he also himself likewise took part of the same; that through death he might destroy him that had the power of death, that is, the devil; Heb. 2:15 And deliver them who through fear of death were all their lifetime subject to bondage. Heb. 2:16 For verily he took not on him the nature of angels; but he took on him the seed of Abraham. 1 Pet. 3:18 For Christ also hath once suffered for sins, the just for the unjust, that he might bring us to God, being put to death in the flesh, but quickened by the Spirit: Isa. 53:3 He is despised and rejected of men; a man of sorrows, and acquainted with grief: and we hid as it were our faces from him; he was despised, and we esteemed him not. Isa. 53:4 Surely he hath borne our griefs, and carried our sorrows: yet we did esteem him stricken, smitten of God, and afflicted. Isa. 53:5 But he was wounded for our transgressions, he was bruised for our iniquities: the chastisement of our peace was upon him; and with his stripes we are healed. Isa. 53:10 Yet it pleased the LORD to bruise him; he hath put him to grief: when thou shalt make his soul an offering for sin, he shall see his seed, he shall prolong his days, and the pleasure of the LORD shall prosper in his hand. Isa. 53:11 He shall see of the travail of his soul, and shall be satisfied: by his knowledge shall my righteous servant justify many; for he shall bear their iniquities. (b) Heb. 7:26 For such a high priest became us, who is holy, harmless, undefiled, separate sacrifice, first for his own sins, and then for the people's: for this he did once, when he offered up himself. Ps. 49:7 None of them can by any means redeem his brother, nor give to God a ransom for him: Ps. 49:8 (For the redemption of their soul is precious, and it ceaseth for ever:) 1 Pet. 3:18 For Christ also hath once suffered for sins, the just for the unjust, that he might bring us to God, being put to death in the flesh, but quickened by the Spirit.

Question 17. Why must He in one person be also very God?

Answer: That he might, by the power of his Godhead (a) sustain in his human nature, (b) the burden of God's wrath; (c) and might obtain for, and restore to us, righteousness and life. (d)

(a) Isa. 9:6 For unto us a child is born, unto us a son is given: and the government shall be upon his shoulder: and his name shall be called Wonderful, Counsellor, The mighty God, The everlasting Father, The Prince of Peace. Isa. 63:3 I have trodden the winepress alone; and of the people there was none with me: for I will tread them in mine anger, and trample them in my fury; and their blood shall be sprinkled upon my garments, and I will stain all my raiment. (b) Isa. 53:4 Surely he hath my fury; and their blood shall be sprinkled upon my garments, and I will stain all my raiment. (b) Isa. 53:4 Surely he hath borne our griefs, and carried our sorrows: yet we did esteem him stricken, smitten of God, and afflicted. Isa. 53:11 He shall see of the travail of his soul, and shall be satisfied: by his knowledge shall my righteous servant justify many; for he shall bear their iniquities. (c) Deut. 4:24 For the LORD thy God is a consuming fire, even a jealous God. Nah. 1:6 Who can stand before his indignation? and who can abide in the fierceness of his anger? his fury is poured out like fire, and the rocks are thrown down by him. Ps. 130:3 If thou, LORD shouldest mark iniquities, O Lord, who shall stand? (d) Isa. 53:5 But he was wounded for our transgressions, he was bruised for our iniquities: the chastisement of our peace was upon him; and with his stripes we are healed. Isa. 53:11 He shall see of the travail of his soul, and shall be satisfied: by his knowledge shall my righteous servant justify many; for he shall bear their iniquities. Acts 2:24 Whom God hath raised up, having loosed the pains of death: because it was not possible that he should be holden of it. 1 Pet. 3:18 For Christ also hath once suffered for sins, the just for the unjust, that he might bring us to God, being put to death in the flesh, but quickened by the Spirit: John 3:16 For God so loved the world, that he gave his only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life. Acts 20:28 Take heed therefore unto yourselves, and to all the flock, over the which the Holy Ghost hath made you overseers, to feed the church of God, which he hath purchased with his own blood. John 1:4 In him was life; and the life was the light of men.

There are some variations in how this Question of *The Heidelberg Catechism* is rendered. Ursinus words it, "Why must He be very man also perfectly righteous?" Whereas the 1978 version (which is contained in the booklet *Christian Creeds and Confessions* which we use in our new membership class) reads, "Why must He be a true and righteous man?" The Banner of Truth edition has, "Why must He be a true and sinless man?" Ursinus in his commentary properly addresses the importance of the question. "*It behooved him to be a perfectly righteous man*, one that was wholly free from the least stain of original and actual sin, that he might deservedly be our Saviour, and that his sacrifice might avail, not for himself, but for us: for if he himself had been a sinner, he would have had to satisfy for his own sins. 'My *righteous* servant shall justify many.' 'Who did *no sin*, neither was guile found in his mouth.' Christ also hath once suffered for sin, the *just* for the unjust, that he might bring us to God.' (Is. 53:11. 1 Pet. 2:22; 3:18).

"*But he who is himself a sinner*. If the Mediator himself had been sinner he could not have escaped the wrath of God, much less could he have procured for others the favor of God, and exemption from punishment: neither could the passion, and death of him, who did not suffer as an innocent man, be a ransom for the sin of others. Therefore 'God hath made him to be sin for us, (that is, a sacrifice for sin,) who knew no sin, that we might be made the righteousness of God in him.' 'For such an High Priest became us, who is holy, harmless, undefiled, separate from sinners, and made higher than the heavens; who needeth not daily, as those high priests, to offer up sacrifice, first for his own sins, and then for the people's.' (2 Cor. 5:26. Heb. 7:26, 27).

"The man Christ was perfectly righteous, or has fulfilled the law in four respects. 1. *By his own righteousness*. Christ alone performed perfect obedience, such as the law requires. 2. *By enduring punishment* sufficient for our sins. There was a necessity that his double fulfillment of the law should be in Christ: for unless his righteousness had been full, and perfect, he could not have satisfied for the sins of others; and unless he had endured such punishment as has been described, he could not thereby have delivered us from everlasting punishment. The former is called the fulfilling of the law by obedience, by

which he himself was conformable thereto; the latter is the fulfilling of the law by punishment, which he suffered for us, that we might not remain subject to eternal condemnation. 3. Christ fulfills the law in us *by his Spirit*, when he by the same Spirit regenerates us, and by the law leads us to that obedience which is required from us, which is both external and internal, which we commence in this life, and which we shall perfectly and fully perform in the life to come. 4. Christ fulfills the law by teaching it, and freeing it from errors and interpolations, and by restoring its true sense, as he himself said, 'I am not come to destroy the law, but to fulfill it.' (Matt. 5:17)."

William Plumber, one of the great theologians of the 19th century concisely declared, "A mere man cannot redeem himself much less another man. All men are guilty and have forfeited their lives by their own sins. When two pirates are condemned to death, one of them cannot die for the other, for the reason that he has to die for himself. Two manslaughterers are sentenced for life to close prison. One cannot take the place of the other, and so let him go free. Redemption, therefore, by any human means or merits was absolutely out of the question."¹ As my beloved theology professor, the late S. Lewis Johnson was fond of saying, "the doctrine of the deity of Christ is the theological expression of the evangelical experience."² The Council of Chalcedon, convened in 451 A.D., the measure of orthodoxy concerning the two natures of Christ, and affirmed our Lord's true humanity. And, further, that the deity and humanity exist "without confusion, without change, without division, without separation." The two natures coalesced in one person (*prosopon*) and one substance (*hypostasis*). As to His deity our Lord was of the same nature as the Father, but as to His humanity of the same nature with us. He is like us in all respects apart from sin.³ Some heretics have denied His true humanity altogether, such as the Docetics of the first two centuries of the Christian era. They conceived of the incarnation as something of an illusion. In one form of the heresy it was said that the divine Christ descended upon Jesus of Nazareth at His baptism and left Him at the cross. The real Son of God simply used the human Jesus for His purposes. This denial of the incarnation and true humanity of our Lord is reflected in the New Testament in such passages as I John 4:2-3, "Every spirit that confesseth that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is of God: and every spirit that confesseth not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is not of God: and this is that spirit of antichrist, whereof ye have heard that it should come: and even now already it is in the world." Cf. II John 7. Some of the Docetics denied the real flesh of our Lord's body, thinking of it as made of psychic substance,⁴ as something of a phantom. Our Lord, then, would be similar to a divine being walking the earth in disguise. Others denied the humanity of Christ in part, such as the Arians, who denied His soul, Apollinaris, who denied that He possessed a rational human soul, or spirit, and the Monothelites, who said He had no human will.⁵ In light of these views of the humanity of our Lord let us now turn to the Bible for its view of the matter.

I. THE TESTIMONY OF THE BIBLICAL TEXTS

- A. First, we turn to John 1:14, where in his prologue to his gospel the apostle writes, "And the Word was made flesh, and dwelt among us, (and we beheld his glory, the glory as of the only begotten of the Father,) full of grace and truth." Here is one of the great texts on the incarnation of the divine Son. "In one short, shattering expression," Leon Morris says, "John unveils the great idea at the heart of Christianity that the very Word of God took flesh for man's salvation."⁶ The One who had been "with God" is now "with us." In the important clause, "And the Word became flesh," there are some significant things to note. First, the Word in verse one was said to be God, called the Word because He was destined to speak to men. Here this divine Word has taken on human form, an effective way to express Himself to men. In becoming flesh, however, the Word does not cease to be the divine Word. In fact, He will now exercise His function as Word to the full.⁷ The term "flesh" is a very strong, almost crude, way of referring to human nature.⁸ The immutability of the Son, as well as His deity, is not compromised by the text. The being of the Word does not have a *new existence*, but He does with the assumption of a human nature in addition to His divine nature enter into a *new form of existence*. There is a remarkable

contrast between verse one and verse fourteen in John's prologue. In verse one we read, "The Word was (EN)," which contrasts with verse fourteen's "The Word became (EGENETO)." Second, in verse one we read that the Word was "with God," while in verse fourteen the Word came to be "with us." And finally, in verse one we have, "The Word was GOD," while in verse fourteen we have, "The Word became *flesh*." The eternal *being* stands in contrast with the temporal *becoming*. We conclude, then, that John 1:14 is a clear statement of the fact that the divine Son became man.

- B. Second, John 8:40 is one of our Lord's plain statements of His true humanity. There we read that He said to the men of Jerusalem, "But now ye seek to kill me, a *man* that hath told you the truth, which I have heard of God: this did not Abraham."
- C. Third, the Apostle Paul's opening words to the Romans contain a text about our Lord's humanity. He wrote to the Romans and stated that he was an apostle separated unto "the gospel of God," adding, "Concerning his Son Jesus Christ our Lord, which was made of *the seed of David according to the flesh*; And declared to be the Son of God with power, according to the spirit of holiness, by the resurrection from the dead" (Romans 1:3-4).
- D. Fourth, in Romans 9:5 there is another reference to His humanity. The text has been hotly debated with respect to its statement of our Lord's deity, and I believe that it plainly does affirm His deity, but there is no debate over its substantiation of His humanity. Paul is speaking of the people of the Messiah, and the text reads, "Whose are the fathers, and of whom as *concerning the flesh* Christ came, who is over all, God blessed for ever. Amen."
- E. Fifth, in Philippians 2:6-8 there is another Pauline confession of His humanity. Listen to the passage, "Who, being in the form of God, thought it not robbery to be equal with God: But made himself of no reputation, and took upon him the form of a servant, and was made *in the likeness of men: And being found in fashion as a man*, he humbled himself, and became obedient unto death, even the death of the cross."
- F. Sixth, in the second chapter of the Epistle to the Hebrews there are two texts that suitably form a conclusion to this section of notes. The reality of the human nature of our Lord is emphasized in the first passage, "Forasmuch then as the children are partakers of flesh and blood, he also himself likewise took part of the same: that through death he might destroy him that had the power of death, that is, the devil" (2:14). Two verbs represent the concept of sharing in the verse. The first, rendered by the words, "are partakers," suggest the idea of sharing in common and yields an emphasis upon the sharing in a common nature that human beings possess. The tense of the verb suggests that they have always shared this common lot. The second verb, rendered by "took part," referring to our Lord's incarnation, suggests that the nature He took was an additional nature for Him, something with which by nature He had nothing in common. The tense underlines the historicity of the assumption of the nature. However, while the connection with humanity remains, the connection with humanity under the condition of transitoriness, that is, in the form of *blood and flesh*, was historical.⁹ There is no place here for a Docetic phantom Son of God!
- G. Seventh, a few lines on in the same chapter is the final text. The author writes, "Wherefore in all things it behooved him to be made like unto his brethren, that he might be a merciful and faithful high priest in things pertaining to God, to make reconciliation for the sins of the people" (v. 17). The phrase, "in all things," puts the capstone on the edifice of His genuine humanity. Chalcedon was right. He is "truly God and truly man." And the Athanasian Creed (likely composed after Chalcedon) is also correct in adding, "yet he is not two, but one Christ; one, not by conversion of the Godhead into flesh: but by taking [assumption] of the manhood into God."

ENDNOTES

¹ Z. Ursinus, *Commentary on The Heidelberg Catechism* (rpt. P & R, 1972), p. 86.

² Wm. S. Plumer, *The Grace of Christ* (rpt. Odom Publications, 1989), p. 144. Plumer goes on explaining why an angel could not be the redeemer. “Nor could *angels* atone for men. Of course the sufferings of *fallen* angels, though they are in the pains of hell, being due for their own transgressions, could be no ransom for us. Nor could *holy* angels make atonement or bring in righteousness for others. All the obedience they can render is due for themselves. They could therefore never supererogate. They can have no surplus of merit beyond their own wants. Nor could they by suffering ever exhaust the penalty due for man’s sins. An angel is finite. The law violated and the justice offended, are infinite. Sin is therefore an infinite evil. In an angel an eternity of suffering would be necessary to redeem one man from hell. The sin of even one man would, if imputed to an angel, send him to prison for ever. Had his mediation been admitted, where would have been the gain in the happiness of the universe? Then too a sinner pardoned would have been bound for ever to ascribe his redemption not only to a mere creature, but to that creature ever suffering in hell the penalty due to the ransomed spirit, whose substitute he had become. In this way no end would ever be made of transgression. The suffering substitute could never rise triumphant and say, “It is finished.” And the redeemed would have praised in the highest notes and with the deepest sense of obligation their deliverer, and that deliverer would have still been enduring the penalty. Such would have been the confusion, disorder, and idolatry of admitting an angel or angels to undertake the work of redemption. Besides, any holy angel must have been for ever unfit for the work of mediation, as he is not able as a days-man to lay his hand upon both God and Man. The highest created angel is infinitely inferior to God. For him to claim equality with God would have been robbery indeed. He never could have said, “Father, I WILL,” without great presumption. Nor could any holy angel ever have sympathized with man, either as a sufferer or as a sinner, to such an extent as would have fitted him to be a Redeemer. Angels know not what suffering is. In their natures they are quite ignorant of what are the real feelings of men. They know nothing by experience of the natural affections of men. They understand not the hard pressure of poverty, or shame. Being holy and yet finite in their compassions, no one of them could endure the recital of our offences without utter dislike to our persons. Before he had learned half of the details and aggravating circumstances of any one’s crimes, he would have turned away with unspeakable loathing from the shocking tale of human guilt.” (p. 145).

³ PROSOPON is a less technical term than *hypostasis* or *subsistentia* used to refer to the persons of the Trinity or the person of Christ. By the time that the Greek fathers appropriated the word for use in trinitarian theology, *prosopon* had taken on, in addition to its original meaning of “face” or “expression,” the connotation of a “role,” as in a play, and of the individual person indicated by the role. In its theological usage it points toward an individual existence or subsistence, but without any philosophical or metaphysical overtones. Cf. R.A. Muller, *Dictionary of Latin and Greek Theological Terms* (Baker, 1985), p. 251.

⁴ Docetism – from the Greek word DOKEIN, “to seem or appear to be.” They taught that Jesus was not really human, he only appeared to be.

⁵ Irenaeus, *Against Heresies*, I, 6, 1 in *The Ante-Nicene Fathers* I eds. A. Roberts and J. Donaldson (rpt. Eerdmans, 1979), p. 316.

⁶ Leon Morris, *The Gospel According to John* (Eerdmans, 1971), p. 102.

⁷ Raymond E. Brown, *The Gospel According to John*, The Anchor Bible (Doubleday and Company, Inc., 1966), I, 32.

⁸ Morris, p. 102.

⁹ Cf. Brooke Foss Westcott, *The Epistle to the Hebrews: The Greek Text with Notes and Essays* (Macmillan and Co., 1892), p. 53.