

CHURCH OF THE REDEEMER

717 North Stapley Drive, Mesa, AZ 85203 Phone: (480) 833-7500

Series:	The Heidelberg Catechism	Pastor/Teacher
Number:	35	Gary L.W. Johnson
Text:	Hebrews 1:1-14	
Date:	May 31, 2015 a.m.	

The Lordship of Jesus

19. Lord's Day

Question 51. What profit is this glory of Christ, our head, unto us?

Answer: First, that by his Holy Spirit he pours out heavenly graces upon us his members; (a) and then that by his power he defends and preserves us against all enemies. (b)

(a) Acts 2:33 Therefore being by the right hand of God exalted, and having received of the Father the promise of the Holy Ghost, he hath shed forth this, which ye now see and hear. Eph.4:8 Wherefore he saith, When he ascended up on high, he led captivity captive, and gave gifts unto men. (b) Ps. 2:9 Thou shalt break them with a rod of iron; thou shalt dash them in pieces like a potter's vessel. Ps. 110:1 <<A Psalm of David>> The LORD said unto my Lord, Sit thou at my right hand, until I make thine enemies thy footstool. Ps. 110:2 The LORD shall send the rod of thy strength out of Zion: rule thou in the midst of thine enemies. John 10:28 And I give unto them eternal life; and they shall never perish, neither shall any man pluck them out of my hand. Eph. 4:8 Wherefore he saith, When he ascended up on high, he led captivity captive, and gave gifts unto men.

"For unto us a child is born, unto us a Son is given" (Isaiah 9:6). Who is this Son? He is Immanuel (Isaiah 7:14). He is "God-with-us" and His name is Jesus (Matthew 1:21-23). The epistle to the Hebrews begins by declaring the grandeur and greatness of God's Son. Hebrews, is, in many ways, a unique book. It is, for example, the only New Testament book that calls Jesus Christ a great High Priest. The writer¹, throughout the book, uses what is known as A FORTIORI argument. This phrase simply signifies "all the more," and means that something must be admitted for a still stronger reason. In other words, the logic in one argument follows with even greater necessity than another already accepted argument ("if this is true, and it is, then how much more so this!" cf. Hebrews 2:1-3).

I. THE FINAL REVELATION

The opening sentence of this grand epistle is so abrupt that it surprises us. There is no formal introduction. The author plunges straight into the exposition of the major theme, namely the uniqueness and finality of the revelation of God in His Son, the Lord Jesus Christ. The writer has what G. Vos has called an intense concern with the subject of *the progressive character of revelation*.²

A. The Method of Revelation (Hebrews 1:1)

The method is one of contrast. Two great revelations are contrasted – the prophets and the Son. Note *how* these are contrasted.

1. Like the Old Testament prophets Christ spoke the Word of God; but *unlike* them He is the Eternal Word who became the Word Incarnate (John 1:1-14). He is God's unique Son – the prophets were not. This puts Him in a *different* class.

2. There were *many* prophets. There is *one* Son.

3. The contrast between the *fragmentary* and *incomplete* character of the prophets on the one hand and the *finality* and *completeness* of the word spoken by God in Christ on the other.

4. Finally, note the contrast on "in former times" (in the past, NIV) with "in these last days." That the revelation in the Son is superior is implicit in the elaborate statement of the qualifications of the Son for revealing divine truth.³

NOTE: The authoritative character of the word previously spoke through the prophets "to our fathers" and of the word now spoken "to us" through the Son is established by the fact that in both cases it was none other than God who was speaking.⁴ The contrast can be graphically depicted as follows:

	GOD HAS SPOKEN IN THE	
	Old Testament Era	New Testament Era
How?	At many times, in various ways	
When?	In the past	in these last days
To whom?	To our forefathers	to us
By whom?	Through the prophets	by his Son ⁵

II. THE UNIQUE ORGAN OF THE FINAL REVELATION

The author states seven facts which demonstrate the greatness of God's final revelation in his Son. These serve to demonstrate the Son's supremacy over all the created order and illustrate the Son's ability to effectively and finally "exegete"⁶ the Father. Note how this unfolds: from His past glory through the incarnation on to the majesty of His exaltation.

A. "Appointed Heir of all things" (cf. Psalm 2:8)

The word "appointed," by virtue of its position in the series of facts that antedate the exaltation of the Son, is timeless in force and refers to His appointment in virtue of His eternal Sonship. In fact, Sonship and heirship are closely linked. There was never a time when the Son was not the heir (cf. Matthew 11:27). The entrance upon the inheritance by the Son will occur at the second advent of Christ (Hebrews 2:8; Revelation 11:15).

B. "Through Whom also He made the world"

The term "world" (Greek ΑΙΩΝ) literally means "ages." Note the clear implication – the priority of Christ to the whole created order can only also mean Christ's pre-existence and co-existence with the Father.⁷

C. "And He is the radiance of His glory"

He is co-essential with the Father. The noun "radiance" (ΑΠΑUGΑΖΩ, to emit brightness, cf. II Corinthians 4:4) has both an active sense (radiance) and a passive sense (reflection). In this context it is used in the active sense. The Son radiates the Father's Glory (cf. Colossians 1:15; John 1:14; 14:9). Note also that it is in the present tense – denoting his eternal nature.⁸

D. "The exact representation of His Being"

This expression means that the Son is the exact replica of the essence of God. "Being" (some translate this "substance" or "essence") refers not to his bare essence, but His whole nature with all its attributes; and by "exact representation" we are to understand a correspondence as close as that which an impression gives back to a seal.⁹ The language

here is so plain that only "a virtuoso in exegetical evasion," to quote James Denny, could hope to avoid the conclusion that the Son is very God of very God.¹⁰

- E. "He sustains all things by the word of His power"
This marks the Son out as the Governor of the Universe. The word "sustains" (NIV) or "upholds" (NASB) is PHERŌN TE and is not used in a passive sense (like Atlas supporting dead weight on his shoulders), but in the sense of One causing all things forward on their appointed course.¹¹ The Son is directing all things towards the consummation (cf. Revelation 11:15).
- F. "When He made purification for sins"
The NIV translation is completely unwarranted. The word the NIV translates "provided" is POIĒSAMENOS and *never* means "to provide." It means to actually make or accomplish in a very definite sense. The middle voice of this Greek word (a participle in form) also emphasizes when He had *BY HIMSELF* made purification for sins. He does so as a Priest. The emphasis is on what the Son actually *does*. This will be developed as one of the major themes in Hebrews.
- G. "He sat down at the right hand of the Majesty on high"
This is the climax and looks at the finished character of the Son's work. "He sat down" (literally this is "He took His seat") suggests the formal solemn act of assuming a position of dignity and authority. The Son is *the Prophet* through whom God has finally and completely spoken. He is *the Priest* who has finally and completely made atonement. And He is *the King* who sits in the authority of enthroned impotence.

III. THE SUPREMACY OF THE NEW REVEALER

Verse 4 is transitional. The contrast with the prophets is completed and the contrast with the angels will occupy vv. 4-14. The participle translated "he became" (NIV) "having become" (NASB) indicates that the writer is moving in the orbit of the Son's humanity. "What was proposed in the eternal counsels (cf. v. 2, 'appointed') is realized in His resurrection and ascension. His inheritance of the title of Son is by the Father's eternal appointment. In that sense, that is, as Mediator, He entered into His inheritance of Sonship. And the name *Son* is a measure of His superiority to angels, who are merely messengers (cf. 1:14)."¹²

CONCLUSION: Contemporary Christianity, busy accommodating itself to the mind-set of modern culture (modernity), puts little emphasis on theology and even less on doctrinal preaching. Rather, contemporary Christianity simply uses the Bible merely to corroborate the validity of what is already found within its own religious consciousness which, says David F. Wells, "is another way of saying that we are putting ourselves in the place of the Bible."¹³ Many people do not realize that the popular expression, "Christianity is life *not* doctrine!" was coined by 19th century theological Liberalism. The writer to the Hebrews thought differently. He begins with one of the most masterful theological statements ever made! Contemporary Christianity, for the most part, simply ignores such statements. Instead of a hearty doctrinal feast for hungry souls, most of what we see in contemporary Christianity is popcorn and fizzy drinks, peanuts and marshmallows, colored balloons, vain repetitions, and a general overall emphasis on entertainment. The emphasis is on personal fulfillment, and as Erroll Hulse has noted, "There is also a concern that we should be seen as the happiest people on earth rather than the holiest."¹⁴ How tragic. If we would give the Lord Jesus His due, let us carefully note *who* He is. Let us *consider* the Son. Later in time, *Behold* He comes! The beloved Son, the only begotten of the Father has come. Listen to Him! (Matthew 17:5).

ENDNOTES

¹ The author is unknown. You may have a KJV that calls this an epistle of Paul, but none of the early Greek manuscripts did. The book nowhere identifies the writer by name. Jerome's Latin Vulgate (404 A.D.) was the first translation to attach Paul's name to this epistle. We simply do not know for sure who the author was and can only surmise that Paul or Apollos or Barnabas (the three most popular choices) penned this epistle. This does NOT affect its inspiration.

² Geerhardus Vos, *The Teaching of the Epistle to the Hebrews* (Presbyterian and Reformed, 1977), p. 68. Note his words: "The unity and continuity of the Old and the New revelation are strictly maintained. In both 'God spoke,' the participle 'having spoken' is a preparation for the finite verb 'hath spoken.' The whole organism of revelation lies in these words. Whatever diversity may exist, still it is all a divine word. The responsibility of people under the New Testament may be greater, but this is not because the New Testament has more authority or more of God in it than the Old Testament." pp. 70-71.

³ EP ESCHATOU TŌN HĒMERŌN TOUTŌN, literally "at the (latter) end of these days." This expression is found in the Septuagint and corresponds to the Hebrew BE AHARĪTH HAYYAMĪM (cf. Genesis 49:1 and Daniel 10:14) it "expresses the notion never merely of a simple future which is to follow the present in the course of ordinary historical development, but always that of the end or final period which is to conclude all history and forms the utmost boundary of the speaker's circle of vision." Cf. Franz Delitzsch, *Commentary on the Epistle to the Hebrews I* (rpt. Klock & Klock, 1978), p. 40. Philip Hughes also notes this and adds that the expression "designates the eschatological time of the Messiah." *A Commentary on the Epistle to the Hebrews* (Eerdmans, 1977), p. 37N. The word "eschatological" is derived directly from the Greek word ESCHATOU in 1:2, and is used theologically to refer to "last things" or "end times."

⁴ "The past tense of the verb spoke indicates further, that God's speaking is complete." Hughes, op. cit. p. 37.

⁵ The expression "in His Son" is without the article in the Greek text and literally is "in Son." The RSV translates this "by a Son." But the noun is used in an absolute sense of the word and is equivalent to a proper name, cf. Simon Kistemaker, *New Testament Commentary: Hebrews* (Baker, 1984), pp. 27-28.

⁶ The word "exegete" refers in Biblical studies to someone who does "exegesis," from the Greek word EXĒGEOMAI, to explain, interpret, declare. This term is used in John 1:18 in reference to the Son make God (the Father) known.

⁷ "In light of this teaching it is not surprising that the title 'Son' itself implies the consubstantiality of Christ with the Father," Hughes, op. cit. p. 40.

⁸ "He only," comments Thomas Goodwin, "is the brightness of His Father's glory; we all are but stars shining with a borrowed light." *The Works of Thomas Goodwin V* (James Nichol, 1863), p. 547.

⁹ Cf. B.B. Warfield, *The Lord of Glory* (rpt. Baker, 1974), p. 279.

¹⁰ James Denny, *Jesus and the Gospel* (Hodder and Stoughton, 1910), pp. 40-41.

¹¹ Cf. F.F. Bruce, *The Epistle to the Hebrews* (Eerdmans, 1979), p. 6.

¹² S. Lewis Johnson, Jr., *Believers Bible Bulletin*: Special Christmas Message: Hebrews 1:1-4, December 23, 1979 (Believers Chapel).

¹³ D.F. Wells, *No Place For Truth: Or Whatever Happened to Evangelical Theology* (Eerdmans, 1992), p. 229.

¹⁴ E. Hulse, *The Believer's Experience: Maintaining the Scriptural Balance Between Experience and Truth* (Zondervan, 1978), pp. 22 and 134.